Define Vulnerable Plaque Opinion from Expert

Renu Virmani, M.D. CVPath Institute Inc. Gaithersburg, MD, USA

Disclosure Statement of Financial Interest

Within the past 12 months, I or my spouse/partner have had a financial interest/arrangement or affiliation with the organization(s) listed below.

Consultant: 480 Biomedical, Abbott Vascular, Medtronic, and W.L. Gore.

Employment in industry: No

Honorarium: 480 Biomedical, Abbott Vascular, Boston Scientific, Cook medical, Lutonix, Medtronic, Terumo Corporation, and W.L. Gore.

Institutional grant/research support: 480 Biomedical, Abbott Vascular, ART BioSensors International, Biotronik, Boston Scientific, Celonova, Claret Medical, Cook Medical, Cordis, Edwards Lifescience, Medtronic, MicroPort, MicroVention, Celonova, OrbusNeich, ReCore, SINO Medical Technology, Spectranetics, Surmodics, Terumo Corporation, W.L. Gore and Xeltis.

Owner of a healthcare company: No

Stockholder of a healthcare company: No

What is the vulnerable plaque? Does it exist? From the pathological perspective

- What is a vulnerable plaque and plaque rupture (PR)
- Healed plaque ruptures responsible for plaque progression
- Location all occur in the proximal portions of the coronary arteries
- Necrotic cores (NC) are larger in PR than in vulnerable plaques, contribution from hemorrhage.
- Inflammed plaques are more likely to rupture than non-inflammed thin-cap fibroatheromas (vulnerable plaque).

Non-Progressive and Progressive Coronary Plaques



 $Early \longrightarrow late necrosis$



Lesion enlargement – asymptomatic or symptomatic

Similarity of Plaque Rupture and Thin cap fibroatheromas (vulnerable plaques)



Thin cap fibroatheroma

- Necrotic core
- Thin fibrous cap (< 65 mm)
- Cap infiltrated by macrophages and lymphocytes
- Cap composition type 1 collagen with few or absent smooth muscle cells

Plaque Rupture

- Discontinuous thin fibrous cap
- Macrophage, T-cell infiltration of cap
- Underlying large necrotic core
- Neovascularization
- Expansive remodeling
- Luminal thrombus

Features of ruptured plaques

Thrombus

- Large necrotic core (>30% of plaque)
- Fibrous cap covering the necrotic core
 - thin (thickness usually <65 μ m)
 - many macrophages (inflammation, M1, M2)
 - few smooth muscle cells (apoptosis)
- Expansive remodeling preserving the lumen
- Neovascularization from vasa vasorum
 - Plaque hemorrhage
- Adventitial/perivascular/intimal medial inflammation
- "Spotty" calcification

Morphologic Characteristics of Plaque Rupture and Thin-cap Fibroatheromas

Plaque type	Necrotic Core (%	c Fibrous cap) Thickness (µm	Μσ) (%)	SMCs (%)	T- lymph	Calcification Score
Rupture	34±17	23±19	26±20	0.002 ± 0.004	4.9±4.3	1.53 ± 1.03
Thin-cap Fibroatheroma	23±17	<65µm	14±10	6.6±10.4	6.6±10.4	0.97±1.1
P value	0.01		0.005	ns	ns	0.014

Mean values are represented \pm standard deviation. Abbreviations: M Φ s= macrophages, SMCs= smooth muscle cells, T-lymph= T-lymphocytes

Kolodgie F, et al. Current Opinion in Cardiology 2001;16:285

Plaque Rupture and TCFA with Varying Luminal Stenosis







Narula, et al. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2013 March 12; 61(10): 1041–1051

Thin cap Fibroatheroma

Plaque Rupture











Implications of the Findings for the Invasive and Noninvasive Detection of Vulnerable Plaques

- Thickness of the fibrous cap emerged as the best predictor of plaque type: PR <55μm; FA >84μm; TCFA 54 to 84μm, those with thickness <54 μm were more likely to show >74% luminal narrowing.
- After exclusion of cap thickness, the analysis revealed macrophage infiltration and necrotic core to be the 2 best discriminators of plaque type

Plaque rupture with mild stenosis and nonocclusive thrombus: a mechanism by which plaques progress from an asymptomatic to symptomatic phase





Healed Ruptures are responsible for Plaque Progression

Movat

Picrosirius Red

Picrosirius Red (Polarized)



Frequency and Location of Unstable Lesions: Thin-cap Atheromas, **Acute and Healed Ruptures in the Coronary Circulation**



12

6

6

10

5

0

Kolodgie et al. Current Opinion in Cardiology 2001;16:285

Morphometric Analysis of Hemorrhagic Events in Human

Hemorrhagic Pericarditis

Vulnerable Plaque





Plaque Type	GpA Score	Iron	Necrotic Core (mm ²)	Mo (mm²)
PIT <i>no</i> core (<i>n</i> =129)	0.09 ± 0.04	0.07±0.05	0.0	0.002 ± 0.001
FA <i>early</i> core (<i>n=79</i>)	0.23 ± 0.07	0.17 ± 0.08	0.06 ± 0.02	0.018 ± 0.004
FA late core (n=105) TCFA	*0.94±0.11	*0.41±0.09	*0.84±0.08	*0.059±0.007
(n=52)	$*1.60 \pm 0.20$	*1.24±0.24	*1.95±0.30	$*0.142 \pm 0.016$

Values are reported as the means \pm SE, *p<0.001 versus early core. The number in parenthesis represent the number of lesions examined; the total number = 365. M Φ = macrophages

Kolodgie FD, et al. New Engl J Med 2003



CD163-Positive Macrophage in Plaque Progression 0.16 *p* < 0.01 CD163-Positive Macrophage Per Plaque Area (%) 0 0 0 10 10 10 10 10 10 0.12-0.10-0.08-0.06-0.02-0.00-Rupture / Healed Rupture Fibrocalcific/Fibroatheroma-Ca Intima Xanthoma / PIT Fibroatheroma / TCFA

Inflammation Assessed at Three - different locations



Inflammation in Coronary Rupture vs TCFA

Combination of severity and distribution in intimal-media border

Distribution

Rupture=60

TCFA=60

Severity





Angiogenesis and Inflammation in Coronary Plaque Rupture







Not all plaques progress the same way.



Amir Ahmadi et al. Circ Res. 2015;117:99-104

Mechanisms contributing to the rapid plaque progression before Plaque Rupture



Modified from Ahmadi et al. Circ Res. 2015;117:99-104

What is the vulnerable plaque? Does it exist? From the pathological perspective Summary

- Vulnerable plaques (TCFA) is a likely precursor lesions of rupture...
- Angiogenesis is associated with plaque progression and inflammation.
- Intra plaque hemorrhages are responsible for enlargement of necrotic core, via neoangiogenesis.
- Vulnerable plaque, plaque rupture and healed plaque ruptures occur at same sites (proximal).
- Healed ruptures are responsible for plaque progression. Rapid plaque progression before MI was considered as possible mechanism.
- Intimal-media border have greater inflammation in coronary rupture than in TCFA, may help predict which one will rupture
- Vulnerable plaque exists, but we do not know how to predict which one will rupture, more work is needed.

Acknowledgements

<u>Funding</u>

CVPath Institute Inc.

CVPath Institute

Hiroyuki Jinnouchi, MD Sho Torii, MD Atsushi Sakamoto, MD Qi Cheng, MD Maria Romero, MD Robert Kutz, MS Ed Acampado, DVM Abebe Atiso, HT Jinky Beyer Lila Adams, HT Frank D Kolodgie, PhD Aloke V. Finn, MD



